arXiv:1512.07622v2 [hep-ph] 25 Oct 2016

UPR-1276-T

Prepared for submission to JHEP

String Consistency, Heavy Exotics, and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess at the LHC

Mirjam Cvetič,[1,2] **James Halverson,**[3] **and Paul Langacker**[4,5]

[1] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6396, USA*

[2] *Center for Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia*

[3] *Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115-5000, USA*

[4] *School of Natural Science, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*

[5] *Department of Physics, Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

E-mail: cvetic@hep.upenn.edu, j.halverson@neu.edu, pgl@ias.edu

Abstract: String consistency conditions are stronger than anomaly cancellation and can require the addition of exotics in the visible sector. We study such exotics and demonstrate that they may account for the modest excess at 750 GeV in recent diphoton resonance searches performed by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations. In a previous analysis of type II MSSM D-brane quivers we systematically added up to five exotics for the sake of satisfying string consistency conditions. Using this dataset, we demonstrate that 89780 of the 89964 quivers have exotics, 78155 of which include singlets that may couple to MSSM or exotic multiplets with coupling structures governed by $U(1)$ symmetries that are often anomalous. We demonstrate that certain sets of exotics are far preferred over others and study the structure of singlet couplings to heavy exotics carrying standard model charges. Typical possibilities include singlets that may decay to vector-like quarks and / or vector-like leptons and subsequently to two photons. We show that a narrow width diphoton excess can be accounted for while evading existing bounds if multiple exotics are added, with vector-like leptons of mass $M_L \lesssim 375$ GeV and vector-like quarks with masses up to $\simeq 3$ TeV. However, a large width ($\Gamma/M \sim 0.06$), as suggested by the ATLAS data, cannot be easily accommodated in this framework. Renormalization group equations with GUT-scale boundary conditions show that these supersymmetric models are perturbative and stable. Type IIA compactifications on toroidal orbifolds allow for $O(10)$ Yukawa couplings in the ultraviolet. We also discuss the possibility of accounting for the diphoton excess in a low string scale scenario via the decay of string axions.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
- 1.1 Rules for model building and exotics from string constraints 3

2 Scalars and Heavy Exotics **5**
- 2.1 Results of a systematic analysis 5
- 2.2 Models with singlets and charged exotics 7
- 2.3 Generalized analysis and remarks 8

3 Pseudoscalars and Hypercharge Embedding **11**

4 On the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess: A First Look **13**

5 A Refined Phenomenological Analysis **15**
- 5.1 Renormalization Group Equations and Infrared Fixed Points 15
- 5.2 Perturbative and Stable Models of the Diphoton Excess 19

6 Conclusions **21**

A Weakly coupled type II compactifications with orientifolds and D-branes **22**

B Tables of Dimension 4 Singlet Couplings **26**

1 Introduction

The ATLAS and CMS experiments have both seen [1–3] an excess in diphoton final states at 750 GeV using 13 TeV data collected in 2015.

Though the global significance of this excess is $< 3\sigma$, it is interesting to ask whether there are simple models that could explain the excess. Many models have been proposed [4–79] that can account for the excess. (For an earlier study, see [80]). These include models where the 750 GeV particle is a boson that decays to two photons via heavy charged fermions running in a loop or directly via an axionic coupling to the hypercharge field strength.

It is also interesting to investigate whether some of the models may be natural remnants of an ultraviolet completion, such as string theory. (For an interpretation within the F-theory context see [61].) In this paper we will primarily focus on models in which visible sector exotics must be added for the consistency of string compactifications; for concreteness we will work in the context of type II orientifold compactifications, though similar ideas also apply in other areas of the landscape. More specifically, chiral matter spectra in type II compactifications are subject to string consistency conditions that go beyond typical

anomaly cancellation conditions, and nearly all bottom-up realizations of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) in this context do not satisfy the constraints, even though the MSSM is anomaly free. Such theories can be consistently embedded in string theory only if exotic matter is added. These conditions not only motivate the existence of exotics, but also dictate their couplings.

In this sense, string consistency conditions provide a well-motivated method for expanding around the MSSM[1] and exploring gauge sectors that may live nearby. In previous work [81] we systematically added matter fields to MSSM realizations that would otherwise violate string consistency conditions; up to five matter fields beyond the exact MSSM spectrum were added in the most general way possible in type II theories. In this work we will further refine our study of this dataset, systematically examining the possible sets of SM charges of exotics, the prevalence of singlets, and their Yukawa couplings to other fields. Using string consistency as a guiding principle, the most natural possibilities for exotics are standard models singlets, vector-like quarks and leptons, and triplets of $SU(2)_L$ that do not carry hypercharge; these may be relevant for explaining the diphoton excess. Notably in all of these models, any vector-like exotics are vector-like with respect to the standard model, but chiral with respect to an additional $U(1)$, and hence it is plausible that they would survive to low scale; in some scenarios their mass may be correlated with the μ-term or other scales in the visible sector, but we leave such a study for future work.

The second possibility we consider is a string embedding of a simplified model. If the standard model is augmented by a pseudoscalar ϕ that interacts with gluons and photons via the effective couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,eff} = \frac{c_{sBB}}{\Lambda_B}\, \phi\, F_Y \wedge F_Y + \frac{c_{sgg}}{\Lambda_G}\, \phi\, G \wedge G + \ldots, \tag{1.1}$$

where F_Y and G are the weak hypercharge and QCD field strength, then there is a simple mechanism for ϕ production via gluon interactions and a subsequent decay into two photons. Such axionic couplings are ubiquitous in string theory, as they account for the generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism of anomaly cancellation in string theory compactifications. For example, in type II string theory they arise from dimensional reduction of the of Wess-Zumino D-brane action. (See [82] and references therein.) We consider these couplings in the context of type II compactifications in section 3, focusing on the interplay between anomalous $U(1)$'s, axionic couplings, and the low string scale necessary to give decay rates into photons large enough to account for the diphoton excess.

In section 4 we provide a first look phenomenological analysis of these models and in section 5 we present an extended phenomenological analysis that first appeared in our February 2016 preprint addendum [83].

[1] Or, perhaps more precisely, expanding around the standard model (SM), since we are neither requiring nor precluding the existence of supersymmetry at the weak scale, though we use the language of supersymmetry throughout.

1.1 Rules for model building and exotics from string constraints

Before beginning, we would like to summarize the rules for bottom-up model building imposed by weakly coupled type II compactifications with intersecting D-branes. In appendix A we give a more detailed overview of the basic ingredients of type II orientifold compactifications and how they give rise to low energy gauge sectors. These rules are important for the rest of the work, as they are necessary for gauge sector embeddings into intersecting brane models and they differ from those typically considered in quantum field theory. We will state these rules concisely here; further details are presented in the appendix.

The rules for model building in weakly coupled compactifications with intersecting branes are somewhat rigid. We will use the language of the type IIa theory, though the statements hold equally well for type IIb or type I compactifications. The rules are:

- ***Groups:*** $U(N)$ groups are obtained from wrapping N D6-branes on general cycles. Motivated by this, we consider groups of the form:

$$G = \prod_i U(N_i), \tag{1.2}$$

  though it would be interesting in future work to allow for an $Sp(1)$ factor, since this gives an alternative way of realizing $SU(2)_L$.

- ***Matter:*** May only be bifundamental under two $U(N)$ factors, or a symmetric or antisymmetric tensor of one factor. The orientifold image branes allow for the existence of all signs on bifundamentals; i.e. $(\Box_a, \overline{\Box}_b)$, $(\Box_a, \Box_b)$, $(\overline{\Box}_a, \Box_b)$, and $(\overline{\Box}_a, \overline{\Box}_b)$ are all possibilities, where $\Box_a$ ($\overline{\Box}_a$) denotes the fundamental (antifundamental) of $U(N_a)$. Young tableaux is also used throughout for symmetric and antisymmetric tensor representations.

- ***Consistency:*** There are constraints on the chiral spectrum necessary for tadpole cancellation. They are:

$$T_a := \#a - \#\overline{a} + (N_a + 4)\ (\#\,\Box\Box_a - \#\,\overline{\Box\Box}_a) + (N_a - 4)\ (\#\,\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\\\Box\end{smallmatrix}_a - \#\,\overline{\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\\\Box\end{smallmatrix}}_a) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

  where this is a modular constraint in the $N_a = 1$ case due to the non-existence of antisymmetrics of $U(1)$; in other cases this constraint is an exact equality.

- ***Massless Hypercharge:*** There are constraints on the chiral spectrum necessary for the absence of axionic couplings that would give a Stückelberg mass to $U(1)_Y$. For a $U(1)$ that is a linear combination $\sum q_i U(1)_i$ of the $U(1)_i \subset U(N_i)$ the constraint reads

$$-\,q_a N_a\ (\#\Box\Box_a - \#\overline{\Box\Box}_a + \#\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\\\Box\end{smallmatrix}_a - \#\overline{\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\\\Box\end{smallmatrix}}_a) + \sum_{x\neq a} q_x N_x\ (\#(a,\overline{x}) - \#(a,x)) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

for $N_a \geq 2$, and

$$-q_a \frac{\#a - \#\overline{a} + 8(\#\square\!\square_a - \#\overline{\square\!\square}_a)}{3} + \sum_{x \neq a} q_x N_x \left(\#(a,\overline{x}) - \#(a,x)\right) = 0 \qquad (1.5)$$

for $N_a = 1$. The massless hypercharge constraint ensures that there is a linear combination satisfying these equations that can be identified as hypercharge.

- ***Superpotential Couplings:*** In addition to their SM charges, all of the fields in the quivers[2] we study will also carry charges under $U(1)$'s that are often anomalous, in which case the anomalies are cancelled via the generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism. These act as effective global symmetries on the low energy theory at the perturbative level. They impose selection rules on Yukawa couplings, as couplings that are SM-invariant may not be invariant with respect to the anomalous $U(1)$'s; throughout we will consider the holomorphic Yukawa couplings of the superpotential. However, D-brane instantons [84–86] can generate these operators non-perturbatively, or the exotics could obtain masses via expectation values of singlets [87].

In particular, throughout when we speak of a quiver, we mean one with gauge groups and matter that follow these rules, and when we speak of an MSSM quiver, we mean one whose chiral supermultiplets precisely match the MSSM, without three right-handed neutrinos[3]. When we speak of a consistent quiver, we mean one with a chiral spectrum satisfying the conditions (1.3) and also a massless hypercharge, even though the latter is required only for phenomenological consistency rather than theoretical consistency.

The conditions (1.3) necessary for tadpole cancellation play a critical role in this paper; we will explain in section 2 how they can be practically used to guide the addition of exotics. Here, though, we would like to recall associated theoretical issues. Namely, for $N_a > 2$ the condition (1.4) is equivalent to the condition for the cancellation of cubic non-abelian anomalies associated to $SU(N_a)$. However, tadpole cancellation also requires that conditions be satisfied for $N_a = 2, 1$, and there are no non-abelian anomalies for these groups: tadpole cancellation imposes stronger constraints than typical anomaly cancellation [88, 89]. The constraints for $N_a = 2, 1$ are necessary but not sufficient for tadpole cancellation, necessary but not sufficient for $U(1)_a \subset U(N_a)$ anomaly cancellation [90, 91], and necessary *and* sufficient [92] for $SU(M+N_a)^3$ anomaly cancellation if the system were to nucleate M brane anti-brane pairs, embedding $U(N_a)$ into $U(M+N_a)$. To our knowledge, this anomaly nucleation is the only known pathology that the constraints (1.3) are necessary and sufficient for avoiding. We refer the reader to [92] for an in depth discussion of all of these issues.

[2] A quiver is a graphical representation of the gauge factors (nodes) and chiral matter (directed edges) of the theory.

[3] The inclusion of three right-handed neutrino fields would complicate the analysis, but would most likely not change the results significantly.

2 Scalars and Heavy Exotics

Given these model building rules, in this section we will recall how our previous work [81] used the tadpole constraints to expand around the MSSM, constructing all consistent quivers with up to five exotics beyond the MSSM spectrum, adding them only for the sake of consistency of the theory. We will then turn to a new analysis, studying in that dataset the SM quantum numbers of all possible exotic sectors, those that involve singlets, those that involve one singlet (and thus might present a simple model for the diphoton excess), and also the perturbative couplings of models with one singlet.

2.1 Results of a systematic analysis

Let us review some of the basic results of [81]. We considered three-stack and four-stack D-brane models, which have

$$G = U(3)_a \times U(2)_b \times U(1)_c \qquad \text{and} \qquad G = U(3)_a \times U(2)_b \times U(1)_c \times U(1)_d \tag{2.1}$$

gauge symmetry, respectively. The hypercharge is a linear combination

$$Y = \sum q_i U(1)_i \tag{2.2}$$

of the $U(1)$ factors, some of which are the trace parts of $U(N)$'s. Such a linear combination is typically referred to as a hypercharge embedding. It is possible to classify the possible hypercharge embeddings for both three-stack and four-stack D-brane models, and they are explicitly listed in section 3. Once the hypercharge embedding is specified, it is straightforward to classify all possible ways that each MSSM chiral superfield may arise in the quiver, according to the rules discussed in the introduction.

This gives all possible ways that the MSSM could potentially be embedded for a particular hypercharge embedding and number of brane stacks into intersecting D-brane configurations. However, most such MSSM quivers do not satisfy the tadpole cancellation conditions (1.3), in which case the embedding of that MSSM sector into a D-brane compactification requires the addition of exotics. This can be done systematically, given the rules for how matter may arise, in order to make an inconsistent MSSM quiver into a consistent quiver with the MSSM plus exotics. This was the method pursued[4] in [81]. Note in particular that pairs of chiral multiplets that are vector-like with respect to all symmetries of the theory never arise via this algorithm, since they cannot contribute to (1.3) and therefore they cannot make an inconsistent quiver consistent. Furthermore, such completely non-chiral pairs would not be protected from obtaining string scale masses.

The number of times exotic fields of a given SM charge occurred in the quivers of [81] is reproduced in table 1. There are a few things we would like to note about the results:

- String constraints have a clear preference for some multiplets over others!

[4] A similar approach where instead messengers to hidden sectors were added for consistency of the theory was pursued in [93]. Vector-like lepton dark matter motivated in part by such constraints was studied in [94] and singlet-extensions of the MSSM were also studied in [87].

SM Rep	Total Multiplicity	Int. El.	4^{th} Gen. Removed	Shifted 4^{th} Gen. Also Removed
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	174276	173578	173578	173578
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$	48291	48083	48083	48083
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	39600	39560	38814	38814
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	38854	38814	38814	38814
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}$	25029	25007	24261	24241
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	24299	24277	24277	24241
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	15232	15228	14482	14482
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	14486	14482	14482	14482
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	3501	3501	2755	2755
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	2755	2755	2755	2755
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}$	1784	1784	1038	1038
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	1038	1038	1038	1038
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	852	0	0	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	220	220	220	184
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	204	204	204	184
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	152	0	0	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	152	0	0	0
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}$	124	0	0	0
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	124	0	0	0
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{4}{3}}$	36	36	36	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_{-1}$	36	36	36	0
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{5}{6}}$	36	36	36	0
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{4}{3}}$	20	20	20	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_1$	20	20	20	0
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{5}{6}}$	20	20	20	0

Table 1. Displayed are the standard model representation of matter additions obtained in [81], together with their total multiplicity across all three-node and four-node quivers. The third column excludes quivers involving states that would lead to fractionally-charged color singlets. The fourth further excludes those where the matter additions correspond to a fourth generation, while the last also excludes a charge-shifted fourth generation. The remaining additions correspond to MSSM singlets, $SU(2)$ triplets with $Y = 0$, and quasichiral pairs.

- Fourth families and charge-shifted fourth families are subtracted to arrive at the last column, along with multiplets giving rise to color-singlet states with fractional electric charge. From this column we see that these possibilities occurred a relatively small fraction of the time.

- The most common exotic chiral multiplet is a standard model singlet $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$, and it occurs over three times as many times as any other exotic. Note that though it is a singlet with respect to the standard model, these singlets are always charged under anomalous $U(1)$'s, and therefore can have an intricate pattern of couplings to MSSM fields or to other exotics.

- Next most frequent in the list is a chiral multiplet with SM charge $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$.
- From there, we see a variety of exotic quarks and leptons, and from the relative multiplicities in the last column it is easy to see that they often come as pairs that are vector-like with respect to the SM.
- Any such pairs are vector-like with respect to the standard model, but chiral with respect to some $U(1)$, which is often anomalous. We call such vector pairs quasichiral.

These preferences and statistics of quivers represent the possible (small) extensions of the MSSM that may be embedded in type II intersecting D-brane models, which may or may not be correlated with statistics of global string embeddings that realize them or of cosmological vacuum selection.

2.2 Models with singlets and charged exotics

In this section we would like to explore further aspects of the dataset of [81] as it relates to the possible *sets* of exotics, those sets with multiple singlets, those sets with one singlet, and then we will discuss perturbative couplings of the singlets in models with one singlet, the structure of which (at the perturbative level) is dictated by the anomalous $U(1)$ charges of the chiral multiplets.

In table 2 we present all possible exotics that arise in the quivers, binned according to their SM charges. In each case, the number of quivers with that set of exotics is listed. Within the quivers for a given set of exotics the anomalous $U(1)$ charges of fields may differ, and therefore so does the perturbative superpotential. We will return to a study of singlet couplings below. Just as table 1 demonstrates that some exotics are much preferred over others, table 2 demonstrates that some exotic *sets* are much preferred. The six most common sets are

$$\begin{gathered}
\{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\},\\
\{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\},\\
\{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\},\\
(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\},\\
\{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\},\\
\{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\}.
\end{gathered}$$

We see an interesting array of exotic sectors. All have more than one singlet, and there are often vector-like quarks, vector-like leptons, or the $Y=0$ $SU(2)_L$ triplet. Less prevalent, though still very common, are models with exotic sectors of the form

$$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}},$$

which have a singlet, as well as exotic vector-pairs of both down-type quarks and leptons. There are many other interesting possibilities in table 2, some of which also occur frequently, though some exotic sets occur very infrequently.

In tables 3 and 4 we present the same data in a different form. In the former we restrict to exotic sets with at least one singlet, and in the latter we restrict to cases with exactly one

singlet, since these two restrictions are perhaps most relevant for explaining the diphoton excess.

Finally, we have also performed an analysis of all renormalizable, perturbative couplings of singlets, including in particular their Yukawa couplings. The presence or absence of these couplings is determined by the SM charges and anomalous $U(1)$ charges of fields in the quiver, including the exotics. The results are presented in tables 7-15 in the appendix. The tables should be read as follows. In the first column is a set of exotics with their SM charges, and the second contains its multiplicity; suppose it is N. These N quivers differ in their anomalous $U(1)$ charges, which can affect the allowed couplings of singlets to other fields. Therefore, given a set of exotics in column one we list all the possible singlet couplings to fields of certain SM charges in column three, and the associated number of quivers with those singlet couplings in column four.

One important point about the quivers that is captured in the coupling tables is worth discussing. Fix a quiver, and suppose that the exotic set consisted of a singlet S and vector-like leptons X and $\overline{X}$ with hypercharge $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ respectively. Depending on its couplings to other fields in the quiver, it may be that $\overline{X}$ is better identified as H_d or L, and one of those is better identified as an exotic; that is, the labels are arbitrary and applying them correctly depends on the couplings. Therefore, in such a quiver one should really consider the perturbative singlet couplings to *all* fields, since this ambiguity may arise. For example, collecting some fields with the same SM charge

$$F_i \in \{L_1, L_2, L_3, H_d, \overline{X}\}, \qquad \overline{F}_j \in \{H_u, X\}, \tag{2.3}$$

all perturbatively allowed singlet couplings in

$$W = \lambda_{ij}\ S\, F_i \overline{F}_j + \dots \tag{2.4}$$

should be considered. In this model, there would be 10 *possible* couplings of the form $SF\overline{F}$ according to the 2×5 matrix, but we compute how many are there at the perturbative level by determining invariance under anomalous $U(1)$'s. If only the couplings involving X were allowed, but not H_u, then we write $5\times((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$ in the table, denoting that there are 5 perturbatively allowed couplings. Similar comments apply to the other fields.

Examining the coupling tables in the appendix, one sees that there are a broad variety of possible singlet couplings to MSSM fields or other exotics in these quivers. Often the couplings are to both types of fields, but typically after diagonalization of the mass matrices they only have significant couplings to the massive exotic pair. We study such models in detail in section 4.

2.3 Generalized analysis and remarks

Though we derived many concrete results in the previous section, there are results that are quite general in this class of models that can be understood analytically. In this section we would like to discuss a few of them.

First, we emphasize that all vector-like exotics $X, \overline{X}$ that appear in these models are

Exotics	Multiplicity	Exotics	Multiplicity	Exotics	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	5232	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	5018	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4230
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}$	3893	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	3646	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	3618
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	3340	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	3257	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	3106
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	2900	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2429	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	2354
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2328	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	2174	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	2112
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	1990	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1768	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1744
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1728	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1670	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1496
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1462	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1364	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1354
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1354	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	1288	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1204
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1168	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1164	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1058
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	1040	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	872	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	860
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	746	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	690	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	674
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	664	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	656	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	630
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	584	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	584	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	520
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	480	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	460	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	450
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	420	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	416	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	403
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	352	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}$	345	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	326
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	314	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	300	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$	300
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	282	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	280	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}$	249
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	242	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	230	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	225
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	220	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	206	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	204
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	200	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	192	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	178
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	154	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	154	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	150
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	150	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$	150	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	140
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	140	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	140	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	132
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	118	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	102	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	100
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	48	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	48	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{4}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{5}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	36
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	36	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	32	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	32
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	32	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	30	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	26
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	24	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	24	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	23
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_1\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{4}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{5}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	20	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	20	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	20
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	16
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	16
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	12	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	12
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	12	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	10	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	8	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	4	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	4	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2

Table 2. The multiplicities of exotic sectors, binned according to their SM quantum numbers. The multiplicities decrease left to right, then down rows.

chiral with respect to one or more $U(1)$'s, and therefore the associated mass term is forbidden in perturbation theory. However, the masses may be generated by a singlet VEV

$$W_{eff} = \lambda_M \langle S_M \rangle\, X\overline{X} + \ldots, \tag{2.5}$$

where in many cases S_M may be the field associated with the diphoton excess[5]. They may also may be generated non-perturbatively in some cases by D-brane instantons [84–86] that

[5]Since the anomalous $U(1)$ symmetry is effectively a global symmetry, both scalar and pseudoscalar components will typically survive.

Exotics	Multiplicity	Exotics	Multiplicity	Exotics	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	5232	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	5018	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4230
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}$	3893	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	3646	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	3618
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	3340	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	3257	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	3106
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	2900	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2429	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	2354
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2328	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	2174	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	2112
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	1990	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1768	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1744
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1728	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1670	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1496
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1462	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1354	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1354
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1204	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1168	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1164
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1058	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	1040	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	872
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	860	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	674	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	664
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	656	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	584	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	584
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	520	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	352	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	314
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	300	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	282	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	200
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	192	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	178	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	154
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	154	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	132	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	100
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	48	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	48	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	36
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	32	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	32	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	32
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	24	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	24	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	20
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	16
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	16
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	12	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	12	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	4	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2				

Table 3. The multiplicities of exotic sectors that contain singlets.

Exotics	Multiplicity	Exotics	Multiplicity	Exotics	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	3340	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2429	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	2354
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1744	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1728	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1496
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1462	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1354	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1168
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1058	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	1040	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	872
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	860	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	674	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	664
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	656	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	584	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	584
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	520	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	352	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	314
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	200	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	178	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	132
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	100	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	36	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	32
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	32	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	24	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	20
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	12	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	4	$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2

Table 4. The multiplicities of exotic sectors that have exactly one singlet.

break the anomalous $U(1)$ to a discrete subgroup. In this case they have the form

$$W_{\text{non-pert}} = e^{-T}\, X\overline{X} + \ldots , \tag{2.6}$$

where T is a chiral superfield that completes a metric modulus of the compactification.

These vector-pairs are chiral with respect to a non-SM symmetry (i.e., quasichiral) and are therefore protected from receiving a string scale mass. Depending on details of the compactification, and possible correlations with other couplings (for example, if the same

effect that generates the μ-term also generates a mass for $X\overline{X}$), these fields may be present at the electroweak scale.

Second, consider an operator $\mathcal{O}$ and its possible coupling to S, namely $S\mathcal{O}$. For singlets typically considered in the literature, and in particular considered in many works on the diphoton excess, it is assumed that if $\mathcal{O}$ is an operator allowed by the symmetries, then so is $S\mathcal{O}$; after all, S is a singlet. In contrast, in all of the models of this section S is a particular type of field arising from an open string ending on two intersecting D-branes; though it is a SM singlet, it is charged under one or more anomalous $U(1)$'s. Thus, if the perturbative superpotential contains

$$W_{\text{pert}} = \lambda\mathcal{O} + \cdots , \tag{2.7}$$

then $S\mathcal{O}$ *never* appears in the perturbative superpotential. This provides a certain type of barrier to the visible sector; for example, for any perturbatively allowed MSSM Yukawa coupling $\mathcal{O}_Y$, $S\mathcal{O}_Y$ is forbidden by anomalous $U(1)$'s. This is perhaps most relevant if the top-quark Yukawa couplings is $O(1)$ due to being perturbatively allowed; in this case the interactions of S with the top quark are reduced, and in particular $SQ_LH_u u_l^c$ is either completely absent, non-perturbative, or otherwise suppressed.

Finally, the field $T \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_0$ occurs very frequently in these quivers but has particular couplings. It necessarily arises as a symmetric tensor of $U(2)_b$, where the $SU(2) \subset U(2)_b$ is $SU(2)_L$. Therefore it has charge ± 2 under $U(1)_b \subset U(2)_b$, and this forbids all singlet couplings of the form STT. Thus, though many quivers contain T, there is never a perturbative Yukawa coupling that allows for S decay into TT; only some of the exotics can run in the loops that contribute to singlet decay into photons.

3 Pseudoscalars and Hypercharge Embedding

Within string compactifications there is another natural mechanism for production and decay of pseudoscalars (axions), related to the generalized anomaly cancellation mechanism.

While consistent string constructions of course have to be compatible with standard field theory anomaly cancellations for the non-abelian gauge fields as well as the non-anomalous $U(1)$'s, such as the hypercharge, string theory provides further constraints due to the Green-Schwarz mechanism: these are constraints that arise due to triangular anomaly cancellation for anomalous $U(1)$'s via exchanges of the string axions coupled to the Chern-Simons terms.

As a prototype, gauge theories in type II string compactifications not only contain non-anomalous nonabelian and abelian factors, as in the standard model, but also generically include anomalous $U(1)$ factors of the trace generator of a $U(N)$ factor. Matter fields of the same standard model representation can in principle carry different charges with respect to the anomalous $U(1)$'s, as they correspond to the appearance at the intersection of different pairs of D-branes. The Wess-Zumino component of the D-brane worldvolume action provides the necessary Chern-Simons couplings, responsible for the cancellation of abelian and mixed anomalies associated with the anomalous $U(1)$'s.

The structure of four-dimensional Chern-Simons terms is of the form:

$$\frac{\phi_i}{M_{st}} Tr(F_i \wedge F_i)\,, \quad \frac{\phi_i}{M_{st}} R \wedge R\,, \quad M_{st}\, B_i \wedge Tr(F_i)\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where F_i are the field strengths of the $U(N)_i$ gauge bosons and a specific combination of 0-forms ϕ_i and its Hodge dual 2-forms B_i both possess an axionic shift symmetry. (For details and references therein, see, e.g., [82, 95].) The coefficients of the linear combinations of the 0- and 2-forms appear precisely in a combination that cancel all abelian and mixed gauge anomalies. Furthermore the last term above is also responsible for a generic appearance of the Stückelberg mass for the anomalous $U(1)_i$.[6] Furthermore the term $\phi_i R \wedge R$ is necessary for cancellation of mixed abelian-gravitational anomalies [98].

It is the Chern-Simons coupling of an non-anomalous $U(1)_Y$ that can be responsible for the decay channel of a string axion into diphotons:

$$\frac{c_Y}{M_{st}}\, \phi Tr(F_Y \wedge F_Y)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where F_Y are the hypercharge boson field strength and ϕ is the (normalized) axion field. Since $U(1)_Y$ is non-anomalous the axion Hodge dual 2-form field B should not have a coupling to the $U(1)_Y$ field strength, i.e. $B_Y \wedge Tr(F_Y)$, and thus should not have a Stückelberg mass.

In the three stack Standard Model constructions with $U(3)_a \times U(2)_b \times U(1)_c$ this results in the two choices for $U(1)_Y$: [99]:

$$U(1)_Y = \frac{1}{6}U(1)_a + \frac{1}{2}U(1)_c\,, \qquad U(1)_Y = -\frac{1}{3}U(1)_a - \frac{1}{2}U(1)_b\,. \tag{3.3}$$

In the four stack Standard Model constructions with $U(3)_a \times U(2)_b \times U(1)_c \times U(1)_d$ there are six possibilities for the hypercharge [99], many of which were already determined in [100]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&U(1)_Y = -\frac{1}{3}U(1)_a - \frac{1}{2}U(1)_b\,, && U(1)_Y = -\frac{1}{3}U(1)_a - \frac{1}{2}U(1)_b + \frac{1}{2}U(1)_d\,,\\
&U(1)_Y = -\frac{1}{3}U(1)_a - \frac{1}{2}U(1)_b + U(1)_d\,, && U(1)_Y = \frac{1}{6}U(1)_a + \frac{1}{2}U(1)_c\,,\\
&U(1)_Y = \frac{1}{6}U(1)_a + \frac{1}{2}U(1)_c + \frac{1}{2}U(1)_d\,, && U(1)_Y = \frac{1}{6}U(1)_a + \frac{1}{2}U(1)_c + \frac{3}{2}U(1)_d\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In all these cases the component of $U(1)_a$ in $U(1)_Y$ is either $\frac{1}{3}$ or $-\frac{1}{6}$.

Thus, the D-brane compactifications naturally provide a decay diphoton channel via Chern-Simons coupling (3.2) to hypercharge gauge bosons. Note, however, for the couplings (3.2) to produce a large enough signal, the string scale M_{st} has to be low, i.e. in the TeV regime.

[6] These terms can in principle be included directly in the study of effective theory with the same conclusions. See, [96, 97].

A possible production channel could in principle be due to the Chern-Simons terms

$$\frac{c_3}{M_{st}}\phi\ Tr(G\wedge G)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where G corresponds to the gluon field strength and ϕ is a (normalized) axion field that also couples to (3.2). However, ϕ's 2-form Hodge dual should not couple to $U(1)_a$ to avoid a $U(1)_a$ Stückelberg mass due to ϕ and thus resulting in a $U(1)_a$ gauge boson mass at 750 GeV.

We however note that the weakly coupled D-brane constructions necessarily result in $U(1)_a\times SU(2)_b^2$ mixed anomalies due to the presence of Q_L's, which cannot be cancelled, unless one introduces "mirror" quark doublets. Therefore, the Stückelberg term $B_a\wedge Tr(F_a)$ has to be present. However, the 2-form B_a field can be Hodge dual to a different axion field ϕ_a. Note that the Ramond-Ramond axion fields are ubiquitous in Type II compactifications and thus a different ϕ_a can participates in $U(1)_a$ anomaly cancellation. Concrete constructions of this type would require further analysis.

4 On the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess: A First Look

In this section we examine whether the singlets and exotics most frequently found in our quivers of section 2 may account for the diphoton excess, reserving a more refined analysis for the next section. We leave a detailed analysis of the low string scale scenario of section 3 to future work. A number of works have already appeared on explaining the diphoton rate from decays of singlets into loops of charged exotics. In this section we will not try to address all of those works, but will instead base our analysis on Franceschini et al. in [8].

The perturbative superpotential couplings of singlets to other exotics discussed in section 2 induce Yukawa couplings of a complex scalar boson s of mass M to exotic Dirac fermions X with mass M_i, charge Q_i, and color representation r_i of the form

$$s\overline{X}(y_i+iy_{5,i}\gamma_5)X, \tag{4.1}$$

where the scalar versus pseudoscalar interaction depends on the detailed properties of s. The decay widths into GG and $\gamma\gamma$ induced by associated fermion loops are [8, 101]

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(s\to GG) &= M\frac{\alpha_3^2}{2\pi^3}\left|\sum_i T^3(i)\sqrt{\tau_i}y_i S(\tau_i)\right|^2\\ \Gamma(s\to\gamma\gamma) &= M\frac{\alpha^2}{16\pi^3}\left|\sum_i n_3(i)Q_i^2\sqrt{\tau_i}y_i S(\tau_i)\right|^2\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

for s a scalar, and

$$\Gamma(s \to GG) = M\frac{\alpha_3^2}{2\pi^3}\left|\sum_i T^3(i)\sqrt{\tau_i}y_{5i}P(\tau_i)\right|^2$$
$$\Gamma(s \to \gamma\gamma) = M\frac{\alpha^2}{16\pi^3}\left|\sum_i n_3(i)Q_i^2\sqrt{\tau_i}y_{5i}P(\tau_i)\right|^2 \tag{4.3}$$

for s a pseudoscalar, where T^3 and n_3 are the Dynkin index and dimension of the color representation r_i and

$$P(\tau) = \arctan^2(1/\sqrt{\tau-1}), \qquad S(\tau) = 1 + (1-\tau)P(\tau), \tag{4.4}$$

with $\tau_i = 4M_i^2/M^2$ and M the mass of s. In the scalar case additional loops involving spin-0 particles are possible, with couplings given by A-terms in the supersymmetric case.

We first orient ourselves by making some optimistic assumptions to maximize the decay rates $\Gamma(s \to GG)$ and $\Gamma(s \to \gamma\gamma)$. We assume a pseudoscalar decay since it gives a larger rate, take each $M_i = M/2$, and assume that each Yukawa couplings $y_{5i} = 1$. Given these assumptions, the rates (4.3) simplify to

$$\frac{\Gamma(s \to GG)}{M} \simeq 9.82 \times 10^{-4}\left|\sum_i C_{GG}\right|^2$$
$$\frac{\Gamma(s \to \gamma\gamma)}{M} \simeq 7.49 \times 10^{-7}\left|\sum_i C_{\gamma\gamma}\right|^2, \tag{4.5}$$

where the only information left in the sum is the group theoretic data. Studying singlet couplings to exotics that arise in our quivers, as listed in appendix B, we compute the decay rates of the singlet in table 5. Comparing to the left side of figure 1 of [8], we see that the last five entries of our table 5 may account for a narrow diphoton resonance at 750 GeV; in particular, it falls on their blue band where $\Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma_{GG} + \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$. Vector-like down-type quarks by themselves, on the other hand, do not have a high enough decay rate into photons to account for the signal. The width could be larger if one allows for the possibility $M_i < M/2$, or for decays into exotics without color and/or electric charges, but then one would need additional exotics to increase $\Gamma(s \to GG)$ and $\Gamma(s \to \gamma\gamma)$.

We would like to emphasize that most of the possible singlet couplings in appendix B give rise to one of the scenarios in table 5, sometimes by a field redefinition so that scenarios which look like they have many fields of a given SM charge coupling to s in fact have one after the field redefinition.

We also emphasize that this analysis is not the result of phenomenological model building, but instead utilizes string consistency conditions to necessitate (in most cases) the addition of exotics, which almost always include singlets with interesting couplings to other fields. In many cases these singlets can couple to heavy fermion exotics and account for the excess in ATLAS and CMS diphoton searches at 750 GeV.

Representations	$C_{\gamma\gamma}$	$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M$	C_{GG}	Γ_{GG}/M
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1	7.5×10^{-7}	0	0
$2\times(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	2	3.0×10^{-6}	0	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{1}$	1	7.5×10^{-7}	0	0
$2\times(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{1}$	2	3.0×10^{-6}	0	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{1}+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	2	3.0×10^{-6}	0	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	5	1.9×10^{-5}	0	0
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1/3	8.3×10^{-8}	1/2	2.5×10^{-4}
$2\times(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2/3	3.3×10^{-7}	1	9.8×10^{-4}
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	4/3	1.3×10^{-6}	1/2	2.5×10^{-4}
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}+(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{1}$	4/3	1.3×10^{-6}	1/2	2.5×10^{-4}
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}$	5/3	2.1×10^{-6}	1	9.8×10^{-4}
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	4/3	1.3×10^{-6}	1/2	2.5×10^{-4}
$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}+(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	5/3	2.1×10^{-6}	1	9.8×10^{-4}

Table 5. Exotic sets with perturbative couplings to the singlet pseudoscalar. In each case the conjugate is included. The widths assume $\alpha = 1/128$, $\alpha_s = 0.1$, $y = 1$, and $M_i = M/2 = 375$ GeV. The widths would be reduced by a factor ∼6.1 for a singlet scalar.

5 A Refined Phenomenological Analysis

In this section[7], we will extend the analysis of the previous section by taking into account additional exotics allowed by the string spectrum and a variety of fermion masses M_i consistent with current bounds on vector-like quarks. We will also study the ultraviolet perturbativity of these models (i.e., assuming a large string scale), which can present an issue in some models with vector-like exotics [62, 106, 109, 113, 117, 118, 131, 132].

5.1 Renormalization Group Equations and Infrared Fixed Points

We first consider whether the model can remain perturbative up to a large ultraviolet string scale, e.g., $\mathcal{O}(10^{16}$ GeV). For definiteness, we assume that the theory is supersymmetric down to the TeV scale, but similar conclusions would hold for a larger breaking scale, or even without supersymmetry.

We consider models where the 750 GeV particle is a scalar degree of freedom in a chiral supermultiplet S that couples to N_i exotic vector-like chiral multiplets $X_i, \overline{X}_i$ in the superpotential via a Yukawa coupling $\gamma_i S X_i \overline{X}_i$. The exotics X_i and $\overline{X}_i$ transform as $(n_3^i, n_2^i)_{y_i}$ and $(n_3^{i*}, n_2^{i*})_{-y_i}$, respectively, with $q_i = t_{3,i} + y_i$.

In supersymmetric models the gauge couplings are governed by the renormalization

[7] This analysis was first presented in our preprint addendum [83] in February 2016. Up to the appearance of that work, many other works [8, 14, 15, 18, 25, 30, 32, 37, 38, 51, 58, 62, 72, 74, 102–131] appeared that account for the diphoton excess with vector-like exotics that couple to the 750 GeV particle.

group equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
16\pi^2\beta_{g_3} &= \left[-3 + 2\sum_i N_i T^3(i) n_2^i\right] g_3^3 \\
16\pi^2\beta_{g_2} &= \left[+1 + 2\sum_i N_i T^2(i) n_3^i\right] g_2^3 \\
16\pi^2\beta_{g_1} &= \left[+\frac{33}{5} + 2\sum_i N_i T^1(i) n_2^i n_3^i\right] g_1^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}
$$

where $\beta_{g_i} \equiv dg_i/dt$ with $t = \ln(\mu/\mu_0)$. $T^a(i)$ is the Dynkin index for representation i in group a. The Dynkin indices of low-dimensional representations are $T^3(i) = (3, 1/2, 0)$ for $n_3^i = (8, 3, 1)$, $T^2(i) = (2, 1/2, 0)$ for $n_2^i = (3, 2, 1)$, and $T^1(i) \equiv \frac{3}{5}y_i^2$. We have used the GUT-normalized gauge coupling g_1 for $U(1)_Y$, which is related to th ordinary g' by $g_1 = \sqrt{5/3}g'$. The beta functions for the Yukawa coupling γ_i are [see, e.g., [133]]

$$
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_i} = 2\gamma_i|\gamma_i|^2 + \gamma_i\left(\sum_j N_j n_2^j n_3^j |\gamma_j|^2\right) - 4\gamma_i\sum_{a=1}^{3} C_2^a(i) g_a^2, \tag{5.2}
$$

where $C_2^i(a)$ is the quadratic Casimir: $C_2^3(i) = (3, 4/3, 0)$ for $n_3^i = (8, 3, 1)$; $C_2^2(i) = (2, 3/4, 0)$ for $n_2^i = (3, 2, 1)$; and $C_2^1(i) = T^1(i) = \frac{3}{5}y_i^2$.

The specific set of models we will study have the MSSM spectrum (which can optionally include three right- handed neutrinos) augmented by N_Q $(3,2)_{1/6} + (\overline{3},2)_{-1/6}$ pairs, N_U $(3,1)_{2/3} + (\overline{3},1)_{-2/3}$ pairs, N_D $(3,1)_{-1/3} + (\overline{3},1)_{1/3}$ pairs, N_L $(1,2)_{-1/2} + (1,2)_{1/2}$ pairs, and N_E $(1,1)_1 + (1,1)_{-1}$ pairs, all of which occur frequently in the type IIA compactifications [81, 104]. The subscripts denote that one of the chiral multiplets in the pair has the same MSSM quantum numbers as the associated MSSM superfield, e.g., Q, U, D, L, E. The Yukawa couplings in this model will be labeled similarly, i.e., $\gamma_Q, \gamma_U, \gamma_D, \gamma_L, \gamma_E$. The beta functions for the gauge couplings are

$$
\begin{aligned}
16\pi^2\beta_{g_3} &= g_3^3(-3 + 2N_Q + N_U + N_D) \\
16\pi^2\beta_{g_2} &= g_2^3(1 + 3N_Q + N_L) \\
16\pi^2\beta_{g_1} &= g_1^3\left(\frac{33}{5} + \frac{6}{5}\left(\frac{N_Q}{6} + \frac{4N_U}{3} + \frac{N_D}{3} + \frac{N_L}{2} + N_E\right)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}
$$

Those for the Yukawa couplings are

$$
\begin{aligned}
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_Q} &= \gamma_Q\left[2|\gamma_Q|^2+\alpha-4\left(\frac{4}{3}g_3^2+\frac{3}{4}g_2^2+\frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_U} &= \gamma_U\left[2|\gamma_U|^2+\alpha-4\left(\frac{4}{3}g_3^2+\frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_D} &= \gamma_D\left[2|\gamma_D|^2+\alpha-4\left(\frac{4}{3}g_3^2+\frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_L} &= \gamma_L\left[2|\gamma_L|^2+\alpha-4\left(\frac{3}{4}g_2^2+\frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 g_1^2\right)\right]\\
16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_E} &= \gamma_E\left[2|\gamma_E|^2+\alpha-4\left(\frac{3}{5}g_1^2\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.4}
$$

where

$$
\alpha = 6N_Q|\gamma_Q|^2+3N_U|\gamma_U|^2+3N_D|\gamma_D|^2+2N_L|\gamma_L|^2+N_E|\gamma_E|^2. \tag{5.5}
$$

We will study models with specific values for the tuple $(N_Q, N_U, N_D, N_L, N_E)$.

The perturbative nature of specific models is ensured in part by the existence of infrared fixed points. For reasonable ultraviolet boundary conditions for the Yukawa couplings in the range $[0.1, 10]$, Yukawa couplings of vector-like quarks often approach their fixed points.

Let us first justify this range of UV Yukawa couplings in type IIA compactifications with intersecting D6-branes, which are one of the contexts for our previous work [104]. We shall focus on the allowed magnitudes of the Yukawa couplings at the string scale. These were calculated exactly at the string (world-sheet) tree level for toroidal compactifications in [134] (for related works see, [135–137]). The full expression (both classical and quantum part of the string tree level amplitude) for branes wrapping factorizable three-cycles of $T^6 = T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ is written as (see, eq. (3) of [134]):

$$
\gamma = \sqrt{2}g_s 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^{3}\left[\frac{16\pi^2 B(\nu_j, 1-\nu_j)}{B(\nu_j,\lambda_j)B(\nu_j,1-\nu_j-\lambda_j)}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_m \exp\left(-\frac{A_j(m)}{2\pi\alpha'}\right). \tag{5.6}
$$

Here the chiral superfields are localized at the intersections of pairs of D6-branes, which intersect at respective angles $\pi\nu_j$, $\pi\lambda_j$, and $\pi - \pi\nu_j - \pi\lambda_j$ on the jth two-torus. $A_j(m)$ is the area of the triangle formed by the three intersecting D6-branes on the j-th two-torus and $g_s = e^{\Phi/2}$, with Φ corresponding to the Type IIA dilaton. The beta function $B(p,q)$ is defined in terms of $\Gamma(p)$ functions as $B(p,q) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(p)\,\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}$. The coupling is between two fermion fields and a scalar field, i.e., the massless states appearing at the respective intersections, whose kinetic energies are taken to be canonically normalized.

The magnitude of Yukawa couplings can be surprisingly sizable, reaching $\mathcal{O}(10)$, while having the string coupling still perturbative. The choice of brane angles and disc instanton



Figure 1. String scale Yukawa coupling for $g_s = 0.2$ and brane angles λ, ν.

areas that maximize the Yukawa coupling are $\nu_j = \lambda_j = 1 - \nu_j - \lambda_j = \frac{1}{3}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ and $A_j(m = 1) = 0$, respectively. Taking these values and a perturbative string coupling $g_s = 0.2$, the Yukawa coupling is $\gamma = 17$. Since $\gamma \propto g_s$ the Yukawa coupling can be even higher while remaining within a perturbative string framework. Taking universal angles for all tori $\nu_j =: \nu$ and $\lambda_j =: \lambda$, the dependence of γ on these angles is presented in Figure 1, which demonstrates that for zero disc instanton area the Yukawa couplings γ are > 1 for a wide variety of angles. In summary, perturbative Type IIA string theory therefore allows for a range of γ including large values in the interval $\gamma \in [\mathcal{O}(1),\ \mathcal{O}(50)]$.

We now turn to an approximate analytic analysis of the range of Yukawa couplings in the IR regime, in particular for γ_Q. We will demonstrate that for a broad range of UV boundary conditions γ_Q robustly approaches an approximate IR fixed point, governed by the IR value of g_3, the largest gauge coupling. (Note, for example, an early analysis of such an IR behavior for the fourth family Yukawa couplings within the MSSM [138].)

First one observes from (5.4) that γ_L tends to decrease in the IR regime due to a positive, dominant contribution from γ_Q and a smaller, negative contributions from g_2. We shall reconfirm post-factum that for $\gamma_L = \mathcal{O}(1)$ in the UV, $\gamma_L < \gamma_Q$ in the IR.

To illustrate the IR fixed point behavior, let us study the beta function for γ_Q in (5.4) in the case of only Q exotics, i.e., $N_Q \neq 0$ and $N_{U,D,L,E} = 0$. For simplicity we neglect $g_{1,2}$ relative to g_3 and replace the running g_3 with its (approximately "constant") IR value at $\Lambda_{IR} \sim 1$ TeV. This approximation is justified since the gauge couplings run logarithmically with the scale Λ, while the IR fixed point for Yukawa couplings is approached with a



Figure 2. Γ_{GG}/M as a function of M_D for $N_D = N_L = 3, 2, 1$ are the top, middle, and bottom blue lines, respectively. Upper and lower bounds on the rate from [8] are also given.

power-law for Λ. With these approximations we obtain:

$$16\pi^2\beta_{\gamma_Q} = \gamma_Q\left[2(1+3N_Q)\gamma_Q^2 - \frac{16}{3}g_{3\,IR}^2\right], \tag{5.7}$$

which is easily solved to yield

$$\gamma_{Q\,IR}^2 = \frac{a}{\left[1-\left(1-\frac{a}{\gamma_{Q\,UV}^2}\right)\left(\frac{\Lambda_{IR}}{\Lambda_{UV}}\right)^{\frac{2a}{b}}\right]}, \tag{5.8}$$

where $a = \frac{16}{3}\frac{g_{3\,IR}^2}{2(1+3N_Q)}$ and $b = \frac{16\pi^2}{2(1+3N_Q)}$. We thus observe an IR robust fixed point governed by a. Although (5.8) gives a reasonable approximation to γ_Q, we will use the exact solutions to (5.4) in our subsequent analysis.

5.2 Perturbative and Stable Models of the Diphoton Excess

The existing experimental bounds on new vector-like fermions are very model dependent. Assuming decays into standard model particles such as $D \to Wt, Zb$, or Hb the current 95% C.L. lower limits are in the range 740-900 GeV [139] or 575-813 GeV [140] for CMS and ATLAS, respectively. The corresponding limits for a heavy charge-2/3 quark are 720-920 GeV [141] and 715-950 GeV [140]. Those for charged and neutral leptons are much weaker, typically around 100 GeV [142], although some mass ranges up to ∼180 GeV are excluded [143]. We will simply assume $M_i \gtrsim 750$ GeV (quarks) and $\gtrsim 200$ GeV (leptons).

We consider models with N_L vector-like lepton doublets and N_D down-type quark chiral supermultiplets that couple in the superpotential to an MSSM singlet S that contains a



Figure 3. The partial width into photons for models with $(N_D, N_L) = (3,3)$ and $(1,2)$.

pseudoscalar s of mass $M_s = 750$ GeV. We choose $t_{UV} = 30$ ($t_{IR} = 0$) relative to a reference scale $\mu_0 = 750$ GeV, corresponding to $\mu_{UV} = 8.0 \times 10^{15}$ GeV ($\mu_{IR} = 750$ GeV). We assume universal ultraviolet Yukawa couplings with $\gamma_{UV} = 1$, but have verified that larger values give almost identical results because of the IR fixed point. We take initial values $(\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1) = (0.092, 0.033, 0.017)$ at 750 GeV, obtained by running from M_Z using the SM β functions. Threshold corrections associated with M_D and M_L or for a larger supersymmetry breaking scale, e.g., up to tens of TeV, are small. We assume universal masses M_L and M_D for the vector-like leptons and quarks.

The partial width $\Gamma(s \to GG)$ must satisfy $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 8 \times 10^{-7}$ to be in the preferred blue band on the left side of Figure 1 in [8]. We study $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$, for which the blue band flattens out and the analysis of the rate into two photons is simplified. For $N_D = N_L = 3, 2, 1$ the rate Γ_{GG} is computed in Figure 2 as a function of the exotic quark mass M_D. $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$ for $M_D \lesssim 3270, 2380, 1430$ GeV, respectively, well within vector-like quark bounds. These exotic representations embed into $5 + 5^*$ pairs, which maintain MSSM-like gauge unification to lowest order, and $N_D = N_L = 3$ is motivated by E_6 models.

For $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$, the partial width into photons must satisfy [8] $6 \times 10^{-7} \lesssim \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-6}$ at 2σ, assuming no other contributions to the width. The E_6 motivated model $(N_D, N_L) = (3,3)$ and the minimal model that can account for the data $(N_D, N_L) = (1,2)$ are presented in Figure 3. In each plot M_D goes up to the maximal value that allows for $\Gamma_{GG}/M \geq 2 \times 10^{-6}$. In both cases obtaining a large enough $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M$ requires $M_L \lesssim 375$ GeV, while obtaining a large enough Γ_{GG}/M requires $M_D \lesssim 3.3, 1.6$ TeV, respectively.

Similar $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M$ plots for all $N_D, N_L \in \{1,2,3\}$ are presented in Figures 4, 5, and 6. Interestingly, $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M$ tends to increase with decreasing N_D for fixed N_L.

6 Conclusions

One possible interpretation of the 750 GeV diphoton excess is a new scalar or pseudoscalar resonance, coupled to gluons and photons through loops of exotic vector-like fermions. Such scalars and exotics are common in string theory, and we have previously argued that they are required by tadpole cancellation conditions in many weakly-coupled intersecting brane constructions. In this paper we reexamined the dataset of D-brane quivers that contain the MSSM and found that many of them indeed contain standard model singlets that could be candidates for the 750 GeV particle, as well as having perturbatively-allowed Yukawa couplings to exotic vector-like fermions. Only certain quantum numbers for those exotics are common, especially left and right-chiral pairs of $SU(2)$-doublet leptons with electric charges 0 and -1, $SU(2)$-singlet leptons with charge -1, and $SU(2)$-singlet down-type quarks with charge $-1/3$. Up-type $SU(2)$-singlets with charge $2/3$ and doublets with charges $2/3$ and $-1/3$ also occur, but less frequently. In each case the pairs are non-chiral with respect to an additional perturbative global symmetry that prevents them from obtaining a string-scale mass.

Following the phenomenological analysis in [8], we showed that the diphoton excess could be accounted for in this theoretical framework with a large ultraviolet string scale (e.g., 10^{16} GeV) provided that it is narrow, i.e., the width is mainly due to GG and $\gamma\gamma$. We argued that even though the allowed Yukawa couplings could be very large (of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ at the string scale, they are typically driven to lower values $\lesssim 1$ at low energies due to an IR fixed point. For a low enough number of exotics, the gauge and Yukawa couplings can remain perturbative up to the UV scale (and even be consistent with MSSM-type gauge unification at one loop). The observed diphoton rate can be reproduced for pseudoscalar couplings to fermions for relatively light vector-like lepton pairs, with masses close to 375 GeV, and heavier vector-like quark pairs with masses up to around 3.3 TeV, all safely within present experimental limits but still in the range that could be observed at the LHC. Scalar couplings to fermions give lower rates unless they are enhanced by spin-0 particles in the loops, which involve unknown A-term coefficients in the supersymmetric case. These conclusions are insensitive to the supersymmetry-breaking scale, or even whether supersymmetry survives to low energies.

On the other hand, it would be difficult to accommodate the large ($\Gamma/M \sim 0.06$) width suggested by the ATLAS data in this framework. One would require either large Yukawa couplings in the IR or a large number of exotics, either of which would lead to strong coupling at the TeV scale. (Other possibilities suggested by many other authors include different event topologies, or the existence of two resonances separated by 10's of GeV, such as the scalar and pseudoscalar components of a complex scalar.)

Acknowledgments

J.H. thanks Brent Nelson for discussions. This research is supported in part by the DOE Grant Award DE-SC0013528, (M.C.), the Fay R. and Eugene L. Langberg Endowed Chair

(M.C.) and the Slovenian Research Agency (ARRS) (M.C.). J.H. is supported by startup funding from Northeastern University.



Figure 4. The partial width into photons for models with $N_D = 3$ and $N_L = 3, 2, 1$.

A Weakly coupled type II compactifications with orientifolds and D-branes

We will now review basic facts about weakly coupled compactifications with intersecting D-branes and orientifolds that provided the context for the rest of the paper.

Compactifications of the type IIa or IIb superstring on a Calabi-Yau threefold X preserve $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions. We consider such a context even though our analysis focuses more on possible exotic sectors than the presence or absence of supersymmetry at the weak scale. For the sake of concreteness we use the language of intersecting D6-brane models in the type IIa theory, even though the results apply equally well in the type IIb theory with intersecting D7-branes or the type I theory with D9-branes. One advantage of the type IIa theory is that the chiral spectrum of the theory is geometrically



Figure 5. The partial width into photons for models with $N_D = 2$ and $N_L = 3, 2, 1$.

determined by the intersections of the D6-branes rather than an interplay between brane intersections and worldvolume fluxes as in the type IIb and type I cases.

In the type IIa theory a stack of N D6-branes on a special Lagrangian three-cycle π with $[\pi] \in H_3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to a seven-dimensional gauge sector on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times \pi$ that Kaluza-Klein reduces to an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge sector on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. The theory is equipped with an antiholomorphic orientifold involution σ the fixed-point locus of which is a three-cycle π_{O6} that is wrapped by an O6-plane; under this involution there is also an orientifold image stack of branes on π'. If $[\pi]$ is a general class, that is it does not satisfy special relations with respect to the orientifold, then the N D6-branes on π give rise to a $U(N)$ gauge theory; if not, the gauge group may be symplectic or special orthogonal. If there are a number of D6-brane stacks on general cycles, each with N_i D6-branes in the stack, then these give rise to a

$$G = \prod_i U(N_i) \tag{A.1}$$



Figure 6. The partial width into photons for models with $N_D = 1$ and $N_L = 3, 2, 1$.

Representation	Multiplicity
$\square_a$	$\frac{1}{2}(\pi_a \circ \pi'_a + \pi_a \circ \pi_{O6})$
$\square\square_a$	$\frac{1}{2}(\pi_a \circ \pi'_a - \pi_a \circ \pi_{O6})$
$(\square_a, \overline{\square}_b)$	$\pi_a \circ \pi_b$
$(\square_a, \square_b)$	$\pi_a \circ \pi'_b$

Table 6. Representations and multiplicities for chiral matter at the intersection of two D6-branes.

gauge theory, and chiral matter may arise at brane intersections. The spectrum is determined by the quantization of open strings, and the spectrum is given in Table 6 in terms of topological intersections of D6-brane stacks.

In concrete examples the spectrum is often such that some number of the $U(1)_i$ diagonal subgroups of $U(N_i)$ is anomalous, in which case the Chern-Simons couplings of the D-brane give rise to axionic couplings that cancel the anomaly via the generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism. These include couplings of the form $\phi F \wedge F$ and $B \wedge F$ where ϕ is the axion,

B is its four-dimensional Hodge dual, and F is a $U(1)$ field strength. The $B \wedge F$ induces a Stückelberg mass for the $U(1)$; it is always present if the $U(1)$ is anomalous, but may also be present when the $U(1)$ is non-anomalous if associated $\phi F \wedge F$ terms are absent. There is a condition on the homology of the cycles wrapped by the D6-branes and O6-plane that is necessary to ensure the absence of certain $B \wedge F$ type couplings; these are discussed in the introduction.

Finally, D-branes carry Ramond-Ramond charge and source associated flux lines in the extra dimensions. For D-branes that fill the non-compact spacetime there is an associated Gauss' law, the so-called Ramond-Ramond tadpole cancellation conditions. In type IIa this is a condition on the homology of cycles wrapped by D6-branes and the O6-plane, given by

$$\sum_b N_b \left(\pi_b + \pi_{b'}\right) = 4\,\pi_{O6}, \tag{A.2}$$

where the sum runs over each of the D-brane stacks. This condition places necessary conditions on the chiral spectrum that will necessitate the addition of exotics in many examples.

B Tables of Dimension 4 Singlet Couplings

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	3340		
		None	2404
		$6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	228
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	180
		$12\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	128
		$9\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	120
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	120
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	40
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	40
		$15\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$11\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$18\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2429		
		None	957
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	376
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	303
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	122
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	92
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	90
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	77
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	66
		$8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	45
		$6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	45
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	37
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	35
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	32
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	27
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	27
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	23
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	23
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	18
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	7
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	6
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	6
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	6
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3

Table 7. The multiplicities of exotic sectors that contain exactly one singlet, binned according to their perturbative singlet couplings in column 3.

References

[1] *Talks by Jim Olsen and Marumi Kado, CERN, 15 Dec. 2015., LHC seminar* ATLAS and CMS physics results from Run 2.

[2] *CMS note, Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in proton-proton collisions at* 13 *TeV, CMS PAS EXO-15-004.*

[3] *ATLAS note,* Search for resonances decaying to photon pairs in 3.2 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *ATLAS-CONF-2015-081.*

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	2354		
		None	1140
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	430
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	300
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	120
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	120
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	94
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	90
		$8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1744		
		None	1054
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	332
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	90
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	44
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	30
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	30
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	30
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	30
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	26
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	22
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	22
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	22
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	4
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	4
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1728		
		None	830
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	304
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	250
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	84
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	80
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30

Table 8. A continuation of table 7.

[4] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, *Composite Models for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.04850.

[5] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi, and A. Djouadi, *The LHC diphoton resonance and dark matter*, arXiv:1512.04913.

[6] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti, and D. Redigolo, *Di-photon excess illuminates Dark Matter*, arXiv:1512.04917.

[7] A. Pilaftsis, *Diphoton Signatures from Heavy Axion Decays at LHC*, arXiv:1512.04931.

[8] R. Franceschini, G. F. Giudice, J. F. Kamenik, M. McCullough, A. Pomarol, R. Rattazzi, M. Redi, F. Riva, A. Strumia, and R. Torre, *What is the gamma gamma resonance at 750 GeV?*, arXiv:1512.04933.

[9] Y. Nakai, R. Sato, and K. Tobioka, *Footprints of New Strong Dynamics via Anomaly*, arXiv:1512.04924.

[10] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo, and D. Marzocca, *Knocking on New Physics' door with a Scalar*

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1496		
		None	596
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	180
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	164
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	120
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	50
		$8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	46
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	30
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	20
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	20
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1462		
		None	866
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	246
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	63
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	43
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	30
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	28
		$8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	22
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	21
		$6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	19
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	15
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	11
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	4
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	4
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2

Table 9. A continuation of table 7.

Resonance, arXiv:1512.04929.

[11] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola, and M. Raidal, *First interpretation of the 750 GeV di-photon resonance at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.04939.

[12] T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima, and F. Takahashi, *The QCD Axion from Aligned Axions and Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.05295.

[13] S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci, and K. Zurek, *Rays of light from the LHC*, arXiv:1512.04928.

[14] S. D. McDermott, P. Meade, and H. Ramani, *Singlet Scalar Resonances and the Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.05326.

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	1354		
		None	580
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	240
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	230
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	64
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$8\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$6\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	1168		
		None	788
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	280
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	40
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	1058		
		None	635
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	178
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	46
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	45
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	30
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	23
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	22
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	21
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$	1040		
		None	480
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	160
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	100
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	40
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	40
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	20
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	20
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20

Table 10. A continuation of table 7.

[15] J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz, and T. You, *On the Interpretation of a Possible ~ 750 GeV Particle Decaying into $\gamma\gamma$*, arXiv:1512.05327.

[16] M. Low, A. Tesi, and L.-T. Wang, *A pseudoscalar decaying to photon pairs in the early LHC run 2 data*, arXiv:1512.05328.

[17] B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala, and J. Serra, *Goldstones in Diphotons*, arXiv:1512.05330.

[18] R. S. Gupta, S. Jäger, Y. Kats, G. Perez, and E. Stamou, *Interpreting a 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, arXiv:1512.05332.

[19] C. Petersson and R. Torre, *The 750 GeV diphoton excess from the goldstino superpartner*,

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	872		
		None	527
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	166
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	45
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	22
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	15
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	15
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	15
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	15
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	13
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	11
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	11
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	11
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	860		
		None	368
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	96
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	71
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	45
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	37
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$, $4\times((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	24
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	23
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	22
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	22
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	11
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	11
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	11
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	11
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	11
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	9
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	9
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	8
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	7
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	6
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	6
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	6
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	6
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	5
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	4
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	4
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	1

Table 11. A continuation of table 7.

arXiv:1512.05333.

[20] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino, and N. Vignaroli, *Minimal Composite Dynamics versus Axion Origin of the Diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.05334.

[21] B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze, and T. Li, *Interpretation of the diphoton excess at CMS and ATLAS*, arXiv:1512.05439.

[22] Q.-H. Cao, Y. Liu, K.-P. Xie, B. Yan, and D.-M. Zhang, *A Boost Test of Anomalous Diphoton Resonance at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.05542.

[23] S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki, *750 GeV Diphoton Signal from One-Family Walking Technipion*, arXiv:1512.05564.

[24] A. Kobakhidze, F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, *LHC 750 GeV diphoton*

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	674		
		None	480
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	144
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	664		
		None	320
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	98
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	80
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	46
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$3\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$3\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	20
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	656		
		None	290
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$6\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	42
		$8\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	40
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	36
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $3\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	36
		$5\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	30
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	26
		$3\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	16
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$5\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$6\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$10\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$10\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	10
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	584		
		None	394
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	140
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0$ $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$ $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}$ $(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	584		
		None	240
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{6}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{6}})$,	154
		$1\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	140
		$2\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$4\times$ $((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20

Table 12. A continuation of table 7.

resonance explained as a heavy scalar in top-seesaw model, arXiv:1512.05585.

[25] R. Martinez, F. Ochoa, and C. F. Sierra, *Diphoton decay for a* 750 *GeV scalar boson in an* $U(1)'$ *model*, arXiv:1512.05617.

[26] P. Cox, A. D. Medina, T. S. Ray, and A. Spray, *Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV from a Radion in the Bulk-Higgs Scenario*, arXiv:1512.05618.

[27] J. M. No, V. Sanz, and J. Setford, *See-Saw Composite Higgses at the LHC: Linking Naturalness to the* 750 *GeV Di-Photon Resonance*, arXiv:1512.05700.

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}$	520		
		None	240
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	80
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	50
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$,	20
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	352		
		None	140
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	40
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	34
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}})$,	30
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1})$,	10
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1)$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	8
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}$	314		
		None	202
		$5\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}})$,	44
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	40
		$10\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	18
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	10
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	200		
		None	80
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	60
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	40
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	20
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	178		
		None	70
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	37
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	22
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	15
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	11
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	3
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	2
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	2
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	2
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	2
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$3\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$2\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	1
		$1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	1

Table 13. A continuation of table 7.

[28] S. V. Demidov and D. S. Gorbunov, *On sgoldstino interpretation of the diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.05723.

[29] W. Chao, R. Huo, and J.-H. Yu, *The Minimal Scalar-Stealth Top Interpretation of the Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.05738.

[30] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff, and C. Royon, *Scattering Light by Light at 750 GeV at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.05751.

[31] D. Curtin and C. B. Verhaaren, *Quirky Explanations for the Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.05753.

[32] L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu, and J. Shu, *A hidden confining world on the 750 GeV diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.05759.

[33] J. Chakrabortty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal, and T. Srivastava, *Di-photon resonance around 750 GeV: shedding light on the theory underneath*, arXiv:1512.05767.

[34] P. Agrawal, J. Fan, B. Heidenreich, M. Reece, and M. Strassler, *Experimental Considerations Motivated by the Diphoton Excess at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.05775.

[35] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, and J. Terning, *The Minimal Model of a Diphoton Resonance: Production without Gluon Couplings*, arXiv:1512.05776.

[36] A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion, and Y. Jiang, *Higgs-radion interpretation of 750 GeV di-photon excess at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.05771.

[37] A. Falkowski, O. Slone, and T. Volansky, *Phenomenology of a 750 GeV Singlet*, arXiv:1512.05777.

[38] Y. Bai, J. Berger, and R. Lu, *A 750 GeV Dark Pion: Cousin of a Dark G-parity-odd WIMP*, arXiv:1512.05779.

[39] D. Aloni, K. Blum, A. Dery, A. Efrati, and Y. Nir, *On a possible large width 750 GeV diphoton resonance at ATLAS and CMS*, arXiv:1512.05778.

[40] E. Gabrielli, K. Kannike, B. Mele, M. Raidal, C. Spethmann, and H. VeermÃď, *A SUSY Inspired Simplified Model for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.05961.

[41] R. Benbrik, C.-H. Chen, and T. Nomura, *Higgs singlet as a diphoton resonance in a vector-like quark model*, arXiv:1512.06028.

[42] J. S. Kim, J. Reuter, K. Rolbiecki, and R. R. de Austri, *A resonance without resonance: scrutinizing the diphoton excess at 750 GeV*, arXiv:1512.06083.

[43] A. Alves, A. G. Dias, and K. Sinha, *The 750 GeV S-cion: Where else should we look for it?*, arXiv:1512.06091.

[44] E. Megias, O. Pujolas, and M. Quiros, *On dilatons and the LHC diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.06106.

[45] L. M. Carpenter, R. Colburn, and J. Goodman, *Supersoft SUSY Models and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess, Beyond Effective Operators*, arXiv:1512.06107.

[46] J. Bernon and C. Smith, *Could the width of the diphoton anomaly signal a three-body decay?*, arXiv:1512.06113.

[47] W. Chao, *Symmetries Behind the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.06297.

[48] M. T. Arun and P. Saha, *Gravitons in multiply warped scenarios - at 750 GeV and beyond*, arXiv:1512.06335.

[49] C. Han, H. M. Lee, M. Park, and V. Sanz, *The diphoton resonance as a gravity mediator of dark matter*, arXiv:1512.06376.

[50] S. Chang, *A Simple $U(1)$ Gauge Theory Explanation of the Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.06426.

[51] I. Chakraborty and A. Kundu, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV: Singlet scalars confront naturalness*, arXiv:1512.06508.

[52] R. Ding, L. Huang, T. Li, and B. Zhu, *Interpreting* 750 *GeV Diphoton Excess with R-parity Violation Supersymmetry*, arXiv:1512.06560.

[53] H. Han, S. Wang, and S. Zheng, *Scalar Dark Matter Explanation of Diphoton Excess at LHC*, arXiv:1512.06562.

[54] X.-F. Han and L. Wang, *Implication of the 750 GeV diphoton resonance on two-Higgs-doublet model and its extensions with Higgs field*, arXiv:1512.06587.

[55] J. Chang, K. Cheung, and C.-T. Lu, *Interpreting the 750 GeV Di-photon Resonance using photon-jets in Hidden-Valley-like models*, arXiv:1512.06671.

[56] D. Bardhan, D. Bhatia, A. Chakraborty, U. Maitra, S. Raychaudhuri, and T. Samui, *Radion Candidate for the LHC Diphoton Resonance*, arXiv:1512.06674.

[57] O. Antipin, M. Mojaza, and F. Sannino, *A natural Coleman-Weinberg theory explains the diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.06708.

[58] F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, *750 GeV Diphoton Resonance, 125 GeV Higgs and Muon g-2 Anomaly in Deflected Anomaly Mediation SUSY Breaking Scenario*, arXiv:1512.06715.

[59] J. Cao, C. Han, L. Shang, W. Su, J. M. Yang, and Y. Zhang, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess by the singlet extension of the Manohar-Wise Model*, arXiv:1512.06728.

[60] F. P. Huang, C. S. Li, Z. L. Liu, and Y. Wang, *750 GeV Diphoton Excess from Cascade Decay*, arXiv:1512.06732.

[61] J. J. Heckman, *750 GeV Diphotons from a D3-brane*, arXiv:1512.06773.

[62] M. Dhuria and G. Goswami, *Perturbativity, vacuum stability and inflation in the light of 750 GeV diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.06782.

[63] X.-J. Bi, Q.-F. Xiang, P.-F. Yin, and Z.-H. Yu, *The 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC and dark matter constraints*, arXiv:1512.06787.

[64] J. S. Kim, K. Rolbiecki, and R. R. de Austri, *Model-independent combination of diphoton constraints at 750 GeV*, arXiv:1512.06797.

[65] L. Berthier, J. M. Cline, W. Shepherd, and M. Trott, *Effective interpretations of a diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.06799.

[66] W. S. Cho, D. Kim, K. Kong, S. H. Lim, K. T. Matchev, J.-C. Park, and M. Park, *The 750 GeV Diphoton Excess May Not Imply a 750 GeV Resonance*, arXiv:1512.06824.

[67] J. M. Cline and Z. Liu, *LHC diphotons from electroweakly pair-produced composite pseudoscalars*, arXiv:1512.06827.

[68] M. Bauer and M. Neubert, *Flavor Anomalies, the Diphoton Excess and a Dark Matter Candidate*, arXiv:1512.06828.

[69] M. Chala, M. Duerr, F. Kahlhoefer, and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, *Tricking Landau-Yang: How to obtain the diphoton excess from a vector resonance*, arXiv:1512.06833.

[70] D. Barducci, A. Goudelis, S. Kulkarni, and D. Sengupta, *One jet to rule them all: monojet constraints and invisible decays of a 750 GeV diphoton resonance*, arXiv:1512.06842.

[71] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, and A. Vicente, *The LHC diphoton resonance from gauge symmetry*, arXiv:1512.06878.

[72] C. W. Murphy, *Vector Leptoquarks and the 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.06976.

[73] T.-F. Feng, X.-Q. Li, H.-B. Zhang, and S.-M. Zhao, *The LHC 750 GeV diphoton excess in supersymmetry with gauged baryon and lepton numbers*, arXiv:1512.06696.

[74] A. E. C. Hernandez and I. Nisandzic, *LHC diphoton 750 GeV resonance as an indication of $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry*, arXiv:1512.07165.

[75] G. M. Pelaggi, A. Strumia, and E. Vigiani, *Trinification can explain the di-photon and di-boson LHC anomalies*, arXiv:1512.07225.

[76] U. K. Dey, S. Mohanty, and G. Tomar, *750 GeV resonance in the Dark Left-Right Model*, arXiv:1512.07212.

[77] J. de Blas, J. Santiago, and R. Vega-Morales, *New vector bosons and the diphoton excess*, arXiv:1512.07229.

[78] A. Belyaev, G. Cacciapaglia, H. Cai, T. Flacke, A. Parolini, and H. Serodio, *Singlets in Composite Higgs Models in light of the LHC di-photon searches*, arXiv:1512.07242.

[79] P. S. B. Dev and D. Teresi, *Asymmetric Dark Matter in the Sun and the Diphoton Excess at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.07243.

[80] J. Jaeckel, M. Jankowiak, and M. Spannowsky, *LHC probes the hidden sector*, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **2** (2013) 111–117, [arXiv:1212.3620].

[81] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson, and P. Langacker, *Implications of String Constraints for Exotic Matter and Z' s Beyond the Standard Model*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 058.

[82] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, P. Langacker, and G. Shiu, *Toward Realistic Intersecting D-Brane Models*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **55** (2005) 71–139.

[83] M. Cvetic, J. Halverson, and P. Langacker, *String Consistency, Heavy Exotics, and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess at the LHC: Addendum*, arXiv:1602.06257.

[84] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, and T. Weigand, *Spacetime instanton corrections in 4D string vacua: The Seesaw mechanism for D-Brane models*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B771** (2007) 113–142, [hep-th/0609191].

[85] L. E. Ibanez and A. M. Uranga, *Neutrino Majorana Masses from String Theory Instanton Effects*, *JHEP* **03** (2007) 052, [hep-th/0609213].

[86] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, S. Kachru, and T. Weigand, *D-Brane Instantons in Type II Orientifolds*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59** (2009) 269–296, [arXiv:0902.3251].

[87] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson, and P. Langacker, *Singlet Extensions of the MSSM in the Quiver Landscape*, *JHEP* **09** (2010) 076, [arXiv:1006.3341].

[88] A. M. Uranga, *D-Brane Probes, Rr Tadpole Cancellation and K-Theory Charge*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B598** (2001) 225–246.

[89] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibanez, R. Rabadan, and A. M. Uranga, *$D = 4$ chiral string compactifications from intersecting branes*, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 3103–3126.

[90] L. E. Ibanez, F. Marchesano, and R. Rabadan, *Getting just the standard model at intersecting branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2001) 002, [hep-th/0105155].

[91] D. M. Ghilencea, L. E. Ibanez, N. Irges, and F. Quevedo, *TeV scale Z-prime bosons from D-branes*, *JHEP* **08** (2002) 016, [hep-ph/0205083].

[92] J. Halverson, *Anomaly Nucleation Constrains SU(2) Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013), no. 26 261601, [arXiv:1310.1091].

[93] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson, and H. Piragua, *Stringy Hidden Valleys*, *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 005.

[94] J. Halverson, N. Orlofsky, and A. Pierce, *Vectorlike Leptons as the Tip of the Dark Matter Iceberg*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 1 015002, [arXiv:1403.1592].

[95] M. Cvetič and J. Halverson, *TASI Lectures: Particle Physics from Perturbative and Non-perturbative Effects in D-braneworlds*, in *Proceedings, Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics (TASI 2010). String Theory and Its Applications: From meV to the Planck Scale: Boulder, Colorado, USA, June 1-25, 2010*, pp. 245–292, 2011. arXiv:1101.2907.

[96] P. Anastasopoulos, M. Bianchi, E. Dudas, and E. Kiritsis, *Anomalies, anomalous U(1)'s and generalized Chern-Simons terms*, *JHEP* **11** (2006) 057, [hep-th/0605225].

[97] Y. Mambrini, *A Clear Dark Matter gamma ray line generated by the Green-Schwarz mechanism*, *JCAP* **0912** (2009) 005, [arXiv:0907.2918].

[98] M. Cvetič, G. Shiu, and A. M. Uranga, *Chiral four-dimensional N=1 supersymmetric type 2A orientifolds from intersecting D6 branes*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B615** (2001) 3–32, [hep-th/0107166].

[99] P. Anastasopoulos, T. Dijkstra, E. Kiritsis, and A. Schellekens, *Orientifolds, hypercharge embeddings and the Standard Model*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B759** (2006) 83–146.

[100] F. Gmeiner, R. Blumenhagen, G. Honecker, D. Lust, and T. Weigand, *One in a billion: MSSM-like D-brane statistics*, *JHEP* **01** (2006) 004, [hep-th/0510170].

[101] A. Djouadi, *The Anatomy of electro-weak symmetry breaking. I: The Higgs boson in the standard model*, *Phys. Rept.* **457** (2008) 1–216, [hep-ph/0503172].

[102] W.-C. Huang, Y.-L. S. Tsai, and T.-C. Yuan, *Gauged Two Higgs Doublet Model confronts the LHC 750 GeV di-photon anomaly*, arXiv:1512.07268.

[103] M. Badziak, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess in minimal extensions of Two-Higgs-Doublet models*, arXiv:1512.07497.

[104] M. Cvetič, J. Halverson, and P. Langacker, *String Consistency, Heavy Exotics, and the* 750 *GeV Diphoton Excess at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.07622.

[105] K. Cheung, P. Ko, J. S. Lee, J. Park, and P.-Y. Tseng, *A Higgcision study on the 750 GeV Di-photon Resonance and 125 GeV SM Higgs boson with the Higgs-Singlet Mixing*, arXiv:1512.07853.

[106] J. Zhang and S. Zhou, *Electroweak Vacuum Stability and Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV*, arXiv:1512.07889.

[107] L. J. Hall, K. Harigaya, and Y. Nomura, *750 GeV Diphotons: Implications for Supersymmetric Unification*, arXiv:1512.07904.

[108] F. Wang, W. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, *Interpreting 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance in the NMSSM with Vector-like Particles*, arXiv:1512.08434.

[109] A. Salvio and A. Mazumdar, *Higgs Stability and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1512.08184.

[110] M. Son and A. Urbano, *A new scalar resonance at 750 GeV: Towards a proof of concept in favor of strongly interacting theories*, arXiv:1512.08307.

[111] C. Cai, Z.-H. Yu, and H.-H. Zhang, *The 750 GeV diphoton resonance as a singlet scalar in an extra dimensional model*, arXiv:1512.08440.

[112] N. Bizot, S. Davidson, M. Frigerio, and J. L. Kneur, *Two Higgs doublets to explain the excesses* $pp \to \gamma\gamma(750\text{ GeV})$ *and* $h \to \tau^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$, arXiv:1512.08508.

[113] Y. Hamada, T. Noumi, S. Sun, and G. Shiu, *An O(750) GeV Resonance and Inflation*, arXiv:1512.08984.

[114] S. K. Kang and J. Song, *Top-phobic heavy Higgs boson as the 750 GeV diphoton resonance*, arXiv:1512.08963.

[115] Y. Jiang, Y.-Y. Li, and T. Liu, *750 GeV Resonance in the Gauged* $U(1)'$*-Extended MSSM*, arXiv:1512.09127.

[116] S. Jung, J. Song, and Y. W. Yoon, *How Resonance-Continuum Interference Changes 750 GeV Diphoton Excess: Signal Enhancement and Peak Shift*, arXiv:1601.00006.

[117] J. Gu and Z. Liu, *Running after Diphoton*, arXiv:1512.07624.

[118] F. Goertz, J. F. Kamenik, A. Katz, and M. Nardecchia, *Indirect Constraints on the Scalar Di-Photon Resonance at the LHC*, arXiv:1512.08500.

[119] P. Ko, Y. Omura, and C. Yu, *Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV in leptophobic U(1)′ model inspired by* E_6 *GUT*, arXiv:1601.00586.

[120] E. Palti, *Vector-Like Exotics in F-Theory and 750 GeV Diphotons*, arXiv:1601.00285.

[121] A. Karozas, S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris, and A. K. Meadowcroft, *750 GeV Diphoton excess from* E_6 *in F-theory GUTs*, arXiv:1601.00640.

[122] S. Bhattacharya, S. Patra, N. Sahoo, and N. Sahu, *750 GeV Di-photon excess at CERN LHC from a dark sector assisted scalar decay*, arXiv:1601.01569.

[123] J. Cao, L. Shang, W. Su, Y. Zhang, and J. Zhu, *Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess in the Minimal Dilaton Model*, arXiv:1601.02570.

[124] A. E. Faraggi and J. Rizos, *The 750 GeV diphoton LHC excess and Extra Z's in Heterotic-String Derived Models*, arXiv:1601.03604.

[125] X.-F. Han, L. Wang, and J. M. Yang, *An extension of two-Higgs-doublet model and the excesses of 750 GeV diphoton, muon g-2 and* $h \to \mu\tau$, arXiv:1601.04954.

[126] J. Kawamura and Y. Omura, *Diphoton excess at 750 GeV and LHC constraints in models with vector-like particles*, arXiv:1601.07396.

[127] S. F. King and R. Nevzorov, *750 GeV Diphoton Resonance from Singlets in an Exceptional Supersymmetric Standard Model*, arXiv:1601.07242.

[128] T. Nomura and H. Okada, *Generalized Zee-Babu model with 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance*, arXiv:1601.07339.

[129] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, *A Composite Model for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess*, arXiv:1602.01092.

[130] C. Han, T. T. Yanagida, and N. Yokozaki, *Implications of the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess in Gaugino Mediation*, arXiv:1602.04204.

[131] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, K. Kawana, and K. Tsumura, *Models of LHC Diphoton Excesses Valid up to the Planck scale*, arXiv:1602.04170.

[132] K. J. Bae, M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, and T. Moroi, *Diphoton Excess and Running Couplings*, arXiv:1602.03653.

[133] S. P. Martin, *A Supersymmetry primer*, hep-ph/9709356. [Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.18,1(1998)].

[134] M. Cvetič and I. Papadimitriou, *Conformal field theory couplings for intersecting D-branes on orientifolds*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 046001, [hep-th/0303083]. [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D70,029903(2004)].

[135] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez, and F. Marchesano, *Yukawa couplings in intersecting D-brane models*, *JHEP* **07** (2003) 038, [hep-th/0302105].

[136] D. Lust, P. Mayr, R. Richter, and S. Stieberger, *Scattering of gauge, matter, and moduli fields from intersecting branes*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B696** (2004) 205–250, [hep-th/0404134].

[137] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez, and F. Marchesano, *Computing Yukawa couplings from magnetized extra dimensions*, *JHEP* **05** (2004) 079, [hep-th/0404229].

[138] M. Cvetič and C. R. Preitschopf, *Heavy Families and $N = 1$ Supergravity Within the Standard Model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B272** (1986) 490.

[139] **CMS** Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Search for pair-produced vector-like B quarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, arXiv:1507.07129.

[140] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for production of vector-like quark pairs and of four top quarks in the lepton-plus-jets final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 105, [arXiv:1505.04306].

[141] **CMS** Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Search for vector-like charge 2/3 T quarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{(s)} = 8$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 1 012003, [arXiv:1509.04177].

[142] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, K. A. Olive et al., *Review of Particle Physics (RPP)*, *Chin.Phys.* **C38** (2014) 090001. http://pdg.lbl.gov.

[143] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for heavy lepton resonances decaying to a Z boson and a lepton in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **09** (2015) 108, [arXiv:1506.01291].

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	132		
		None	72
		$1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	20
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	100		
		None	40
		$1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	30
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}})$,	20
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	10
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	36		
		None	16
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	12
		$1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	8
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{2}{3}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}}$	32		
		None	32
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	32		
		None	20
		$3\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0)$,	8
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	24		
		$1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}})$,	8
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0)$,	8
		None	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	20		
		None	16
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0)$,	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	16		
		None	16
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16		
		None	12
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0)$,	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	16		
		None	8
		$10\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	4
		$1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0)$,	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	12		
		None	8
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0)$,	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8		
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}})$,	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8		
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}$	8		
		$1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}})$,	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8		
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}})$,	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	8		
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}})$,	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8		
		None	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8		
		None	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8		
		None	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	8		
		$10\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$,	8
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\,(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\,(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\,(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	8		
		None	5
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}})$, $1\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0)$,	2
		$4\times\,((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}})$,	1

Table 14. A continuation of table 7.

Exotic Set	Multiplicity	Perturbative S Couplings	Multiplicity
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}$	4	$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}),\ 1\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{3}{2}}),$	4
$(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{6}}\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{6}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	4	None	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	None	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_1\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-1}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_0$	4	None	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}),$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0$	4	$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}),\ 4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}),$	4
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}\ (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0\ (\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}\ (\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}$	2	$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}),\ 4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\overline{\mathbf{3}},\mathbf{1})_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{3}}),$	1
		$4\times\ ((\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_0(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}),$	1

Table 15. A continuation of table 7.